

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/598,536	09/01/2006	Shigeru Nemoto	KITO15.001APC	6996	
	7590 09/16/201 RTENS OLSON & BE		EXAM	INER	
2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR			SCHELL, LAURA C		
IRVINE, CA 9			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			3767		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/16/2010	ELECTRONIC	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com efiling@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com

# NEMOTO ET AL. 10/598,536

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	LAURA C. SCHELL	3767					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 GPR 1.1: after SIX (6) MCRITHS from the mailing date of the communication.  - Failur to reply within the act or extended period for reply will. by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patnet term adjustment. See 37 GPR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Ju	me 2010						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•						
<del>'=</del>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
· <del></del>	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	n pane quayre, 1000 c.b. 11, 11	0.0.2.2.0.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 12-34</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 33 and 34 is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
<ol><li>Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li></ol>							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9,12-32</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	, ,	, , , ,					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.					
II							
Attachment(s)	A 🗆	(DTO 440)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Interview Summary     Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)	5) Notice of Informal F	atent Application					

Application/Control Number: 10/598,536

Art Unit: 3767

## DETAILED ACTION

# Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Omum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Application/Control Number: 10/598,536

Art Unit: 3767

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-9 and 12-32 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 2, 9-13, 15-17, 24-30 of copending Application No. 11/911139. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both applications claim similar subject matter including: a chemical liquid injection system, a syringe, an injector, data storing means, data comparing means, alarm notifying means, operation control means, data comparing means, RFID chip, etc.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see pages 10-12 of Applicant's arguments, filed 6/22/2010, with respect to Tanaka being disqualified as prior art, have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of the claims under Tachibana in view of Tanaka. Wilson and Hickle has been withdrawn.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LAURA C. SCHELL whose telephone number is Application/Control Number: 10/598,536 Page 4

Art Unit: 3767

(571)272-7881. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9am-

5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor. Kevin Sirmons can be reached on (571) 272-4965. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Laura C Schell/ Examiner, Art Unit 3767

/KEVIN C. SIRMONS/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3767